



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,342	10/23/2001	Michael Sogard	NIKOP027/PA0427	7706

22434 7590 10/30/2003

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP  
P.O. BOX 778  
BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778

EXAMINER

BAKER, MAURIE GARCIA

PART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1639

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
10/038,342

Applicant(s)  
Sogard

Examiner  
Maurie G. Baker, Ph.D.

Art Unit  
1639



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Maurie G. Baker, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Damon Kali

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Oct 29, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic      b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes      e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:  
None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed Restriction Requirement. Applicants requested joining Groups IV and V. The examiner requested that applicant submit traversal in writing and that to expedite prosecution, Group V (apparatus) be elected. The examiner stated that rejoinder of the method claims (of appropriate scope) with apparatus claims could be possible at the appropriate point later in prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

MAURIE G. BAKER, PH.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1639

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required